

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,523	07/24/2003	Haruo Inoue	8012-1203	8154
466	7590 05/04/2004		EXAM	INER
	THOMPSON	LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
*			3712	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/625,523	INOUE, HARUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she twitt	th correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Discription Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,	a/or creation requirement.					
Application Papers						
•	☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a le	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>043004</u>. 	_	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pickardt et al.

The patent to Pickardt discloses a symbol display device fir a game machine comprising a symbol display member 15 that rotates 22. The symbol display member has a symbol area having a plurality of symbols 16. The symbol display device also comprises a rotary member 17 overlapped with the symbol display member. Light emission element array 18, 19 has a plurality of light emission elements, arrows that light on and off. The rotary member 17 rotates as the light emission elements light on and off creating an afterimage effect of rotating a rotating arrow 19, page 7, lines 13-25. The plural light emission element arrays 18 extend radially to indicate the symbol 16 in the symbol area, wherein the light emission elements arrays turn the lights on and off sequentially when the rotary member stops rotation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/625,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 3712

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pickardt et al.

Determining the shape of Pickardt's symbol display member and the rotary member would have simply been an aesthetic design choice which is always obvious in the art. Furthermore, determining the motion of the rotary member (e.g. clockwise, counterclockwise, swinging motion, etc.) is also an aesthetic design choice which is always obvious in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The description of how "afterimages" such as the afterimage of "GO" in Fig. 4 is not clearly defined. How does the flashing of the LED lights of the arrows create the afterimages? A more complete description in the specification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,523 Page 4

Art Unit: 3712

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5, 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The patents to Luciano, Malavazos et al., Gutknecht et al., and Inoue 220' disclose game machines with symbol display devices that have symbol display members that rotate, and rotary member that also rotate. None of the cited references alone or in combination teach the rotary member being placed behind the symbol display member such that a portion of the light emission element array overlaps the symbol array, and a portion of the light emission element array does not overlap the symbol area.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,523 Page 5

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Bénjamin H. Layrio Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl